



S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Н 353532000710 NARCISO 12/21/99 09/469,717

QM22/1103

MORRISON AND FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94304-1018

EXAMINER

DROESCH, K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3731 DATE MAILED:

11/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		<u>'</u>		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/469,717		NARCISO, HUGH L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kristen L. Droesch	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Status 	nication. ays, a reply within the statuto ry period will apply and will o	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma	iling date of this	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Application Papers 4) □ Claim(s) 46-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 46-67 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are objected 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the proposed drawing correction of the proposed drawing correction of the propose	awn from consideration or election requirement er. to by the Examiner is: a) ☐ approved			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIF 1. received. 2. received in Application No. (Series Cod 3. received in this National Stage application * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	FIED copies of the prior le / Serial Number) on from the Internation	rity documents have been: al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 t	J.S.C. & 119(e).		
Attachment(s)				
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:		

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Some of the references listed in the PTO-1449 form were not considered since they were unavailable to the examiner. The examiner requests copies of these references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 51, and 62-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 51 recites the limitation "said biocompatible material" in line 1 of claim 51. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

 Claims 62-67 each recite the limitation "fastener of claim 61". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 46-59, and 61-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The anastomosis device comprising a graft vessel, where the graft vessel is described as a thoracic artery or saphenous vein in line 7 of Column 5, of the specification, is directed to non-statutory subject matter because the claims positively recite a part of the human body. The examiner suggests replacing the existing phrases to

Art Unit: 3731

language such as -- where the tubular member is adapted to be connected to a graft vessel--, -- the end margin of the tubular member is configured to be inserted into an end portion of a graft vessel--, -- the tubular member is adapted to be adhered to an end portion of a graft vessel-- and, -- where the polymeric material is configured to be disposed upon an end margin of a free end of a graft vessel--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 46, 49-55, 58-62, and 64-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slepian (5,634,946). Slepian teaches of a device that can be used for sealing vessel anastomoses during coronary artery bypass surgery (Column 12, lines 9-12), comprising a tubular member made of a deformable material where the tubular member is transformable upon application of energy between a non-fluent state and a fluent state in which the tubular member is radially expandable (Column 10, lines 46-60). With respect to claims 49-51, and 62, Slepian teaches that the tubular member is formed of a biocompatible, bioerodable polymeric material (Column 7, lines 32-44, Column 8, lines 4-15). With respect to claim 52, Slepian teaches that the polymer can either be a homopolymer or a copolymer (Column 7, lines 46-49). With respect to claim 53, Slepian teaches that the polymeric material is polycaprolactone (Column 8, lines 16-46). With respect to claims 54 and 55, Slepian teaches that the tubular member has an adhesive

Art Unit: 3731

surface and an end portion of a graft vessel can be adhered to the tubular member (Column 12, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 52-56, and Column 14, lines 40-46). With respect to claims 58-59, 64, and 65, Slepian teaches that the tubular member can be impregnated with anti-platelet, anti-thrombus, anti-inflammatory, and anti-proliferative compounds (Column 9, lines 25-43). With respect to claims 60 and 61, Slepian teaches that the tubular member is sized and dimensioned for receiving an end portion of a graft vessel, and that material is disposed upon an end margin of a free end of a graft vessel (Column 10, lines 46-49). It is inherent that when the tubular member is radially expanded, it is capable of forcing the graft vessel into sealing engagement with an inner wall of a target vessel. With respect to claims 66, and 67, Slepian teaches that the material can be applied to an inner wall of a graft vessel (Column 5, lines 28-34). It is inherent that the material could also be applied to an outer wall of a graft vessel.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slepian in view of Nash et al. (6,056,762). Slepian is explained as before. Slepian teaches that the initial pre-deployment design and size of the polymer sleeve will be dictated by the specific application based upon the final physical, physiological and pharmacological properties desired. (Column 12, lines 28-32). However, Slepian does not teach that the tubular member is pre-shaped to have at least a first bend along the length of the member or a portion of the tubular member extends at an angle between 30° and 90° relative to the longitudinal centerline. Nash et al. shows an

Art Unit: 3731

anastomosis system comprising a tubular member (22) with a first bend along the length of the member (Figure 3). Nash et al. also shows that a portion of the tubular member extends at an angle between 30° and 90° relative to the longitudinal centerline (Figure 3, and Column 6, lines 44-47). Nash et al. teaches that the angled configuration facilitates insertion into the target vessel (Column 6, lines 47-50). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a tubular member with a first bend or portion of the tubular member extending at an angle between 30° and 90° relative to the longitudinal centerline as described by Nash et al. and apply it to the invention of Slepian in order to facilitate insertion into a target vessel.

- 8. Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slepian in view of Pathak (5,662,712). Slepian is as explained before. Slepian does not teach of including in the tubular member a chromophore or dye. Pathak teaches of forming polymeric materials *in vivo*, where the polymeric materials include a chromophore such as a dye or a pigment (Column 2, lines 54-59). Pathak teaches that the chromophore serves to absorb light produced by a light source and convert it to thermal energy, which acts to heat the polymer. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a chromophore is the form of a dye, as taught by Pathak, in the tubular member of Slepian in order for the tubular member to be transformable by the application of light energy.
- 9. Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slepian in view of Hubbell (5,410,016). Slepian is as explained before. Slepian does not teach that the material is selected from a group consisting of polyethylene-glycol (PEG) base hydrogels, acrylates, and acrylated urethanes. Hubbell teaches of tissue contacting materials formed from polyethylene-

Art Unit: 3731

glycol (PEG) base hydrogels, acrylates, or acrylated urethanes (Column 5, line 15-23, and Column 27, lines 53-55). Hubbell teaches that acrylates permit rapid polymerization and gelation and can be polymerized by several initiating systems. Hubbell teaches that PEG is hydrophilic and water soluble and has excellent biocompatibility. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use this group of materials for the device of Slepian since this group of materials is rapidly transformable upon the application of energy between a non-fluent and a fluent state, is water soluble, and has excellent biocompatibility.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hubbell (5,573,934) also teaches of the use of PEG, acrylates and acrylated urethanes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen L. Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Recla can be reached on 703-308-1382. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

kld kld

October 31, 2000

MICHAEL H. THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER